

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,653	10/23/2000	John Overman	5384/55100	3830	
75	90 02/28/2005		EXAMINER		
KEITH E GEORGE, ESQ. MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			FOX, CHARLES A		
600 13TH STR	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		3652		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/29/2000	DATE MAIL ED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
٧	Advisory Action	09/694,653	OVERMAN ET AL.				
`	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charles A. Fox	3652				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE	HE REPLY FILED 14 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
been i CFR above earne	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)				
2. 🛚	The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on <u>14 January 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the control of the con	n 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid d	n two months of the date of filing the ismissal of the appeal. Since a				
	NDMENTS						
3. 🗠	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
	appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
4.	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. 🗀							
6. 🗌	the non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. 🗌	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
٠	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
REQ	] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		No(s)				
13. [∑	Other: This application has been reassigned to examine	er Charles A. Fox.	Mellos				
			EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600				
~ _	tent and Trademark Office	·	LENTER 3600				

عراجاء الأيو

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the selective lifting and dropping as amended in claim 20 will require a new search.